

Progress in Two-second Filter Development

Michael Heifetz, John Conklin



- 1. Two-floor data analysis: Initial condition for One-Floor (2-sec) Filter
- 2. Progress in 2-sec Filter development
- 3. Next steps





Gyro Scale Factor

Estimates

Gravity 1st Floor Output: Gyros 2 & 4 EW Orientations





$$\begin{split} \Phi_{m}^{-}(t) &= \Phi_{r}(t) - m\Phi_{0}(t); \\ \Phi_{m}^{+}(t) &= \Phi_{r}(t) + m\Phi_{0}(t) \\ k_{m}(t) &= \sum_{m=0}^{N_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(t) + k_{2m}(\gamma_{0})\sin m\Phi_{0}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ k_{2m} &= \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(t) + k_{2m}(\gamma_{0})\sin m\Phi_{0}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{t}_{2m}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(t) + k_{2m}(\gamma_{0})\sin m\Phi_{0}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{t}_{2m}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(t) + k_{2m}(\gamma_{0})\sin m\Phi_{0}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{t}_{2m}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(t) + k_{2m}(\gamma_{0})\sin m\Phi_{0}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{t}_{2m}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(t) + k_{2m}(\gamma_{0})\sin m\Phi_{0}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{t}_{2m}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(t) + k_{2m}(\gamma_{0})\sin m\Phi_{0}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{t}_{2m}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(t) + k_{2m}(\gamma_{0})\sin m\Phi_{0}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{t}_{2m}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(t) + k_{2m}(\gamma_{0})\sin m\Phi_{0}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{t}_{2m}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(t) + k_{2m}(\gamma_{0})\sin m\Phi_{0}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{t}_{2m}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(t) + k_{2m}(\gamma_{0})\sin m\Phi_{0}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{t}_{2m}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(t) + k_{2m}(\gamma_{0})\sin m\Phi_{0}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{t}_{2m}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(t) + k_{2m}(\gamma_{0})\sin m\Phi_{0}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{t}_{2m}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(t) + k_{2m}(\gamma_{0})\sin m\Phi_{0}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{t}_{2m}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(t) + k_{2m}(\gamma_{0})\sin m\Phi_{0}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{t}_{2m}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(t) + k_{2m}(\gamma_{0})\sin m\Phi_{0}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{t}_{2m}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(t) + k_{2m}(\gamma_{0})\sin m\Phi_{0}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{t}_{2m}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(t) + k_{2m}(\gamma_{0})\sin m\Phi_{0}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{t}_{2m}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(t) + k_{2m}(\gamma_{0})\sin m\Phi_{0}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{t}_{2m}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(t) + k_{2m}(\gamma_{0})\sin m\Phi_{0}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{t}_{2m}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(\tau) + k_{2m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(\tau) \end{bmatrix} \\ \bar{t}_{2m}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} k_{1m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}(\tau) + k_{2m}(\gamma_{0})\cos m\Phi_{0}($$











Gravity Success & Limits of Two-Floor Data Analysis

Roll-resonance torque modeling:

- Reduced large part of systematic errors: previously unmodeled torque-related errors now modeled properly
- Dramatically enhanced agreement between gyros

> The same torque model for all 4 gyros & entire mission

> 2nd Floor Kalman Filter is not perfect:

Orientation profile time step (currently 1 point per orbit) should be << 1 roll period (Torque Model)

Final improvement of Algebraic Method: "2-sec Filter"

Why 2- sec? \implies Science Signals (SQUID, Telescope,...) available at 2 sec sampling rate









Gravity Trapped Flux & Readout Scale Factor





Products of Trapped Flux Mapping





Gravity 2-sec Filter Estimation Algorithm

Estimation problem:



- Conventional method (widely used): Extended Kalman Filter (EKF) / Iterative EKF
 - Linearization at current estimate \rightarrow linear Kalman Filter for each iteration
- Jacobian computed via Sigma-Point Technology ullet
- 2-sec Filter capitalizes on ~ 3 years of experience



KACST Contribution #1

Badr Alsuwaidan

Activity: Truth model development & implementation

Motivation: Debugging and testing of complex filter is IMPOSSIBLE without "Truth Model"

- ✓ Badr developed software to generate "Truth Model SQUID signal"
- ✓ Badr & Vladimir Solomonik integrated "Truth Model" with 2-sec Filter
- "Truth Model" now 1 of 2 main Filter modes (together with "Flight Data" mode)
- ✓ "Truth Model" allows:
 - Filter debugging
 - Analyze filter convergence
 - Tuning of filter parameters
 - Sensitivity analysis preparation



KACST Contribution #2

Majid Almeshari

Activity: Parallelization of 2-sec Filter

Motivation: 2-sec Filter computation time (1 processor) = few days. parallel processing: few days \rightarrow few hours

- ✓ Parallel 2-sec Filter demonstrated on 44 processor (64 bit) cluster
- $\checkmark\,$ Extensive timings of serial code complete: allows efficient parallelization
 - \succ ~ 80% of computation time is Jacobian \rightarrow initial parallelization effort
- $\checkmark\,$ Majid's work revealed that comm. time is largest bottleneck
 - > Restructuring underway to minimize intra-processor communication time

Schedule: Parallel 2-sec Filter as primary analysis by October



Gravity Testing of 2-sec Filter

- Testing performed on a single-processor (8 GB RAM)
- Constraint allows only Segment 5 (~40 day analysis)
- Parallel processing necessary for complete (10 Segment) analysis (see Majid's presentation)
- Segment 5, 1 gyro not enough data to estimate relativity parameters
- Segment 5, with 4 gyros allows convergence

Testing Results (Flight Data): Segment 5



Gravity ProBe **Testing Results (Flight Data): Segment 5** Sensitivity to initial conditions





Gravity Near Term Steps

- Extend analysis to segments 5, 6 & 9 (Aug '09)
 - Requires proper integration of segments
- Implement parallel processing (Oct '09)
 - Analysis with all segments requires efficient parallel processing
- Continue testing & fine-tuning of filter (Dec '09)